

A Feminist Approach to Mathematical Modeling: Using Data Feminism for Task Design

Lily Roth
Utah State University

Sindura Kularajan
Utah State University

Keywords: mathematical modeling, feminism, task design

Feminist pedagogy allows for connecting “to our roots, our past, and to envision the future” (Shrewsbury, 1987, p. 6). By recognizing individuals as unique contributors within the social, cultural, and intellectual contexts, feminist pedagogy helps motivate students to learn about themselves and the diverse experiences of others (Torres, 2014). Feminism in education may involve exploration of social-justice issues (e.g. Louie et al., 2022, Gutstein, 2003) or integration of feminist theories into classroom norms (e.g. Abrahamson et al., 2020, Raven, 2014). One relevant feminist perspective is Data Feminism (D’Ignazio & Klein, 2020). The framework provides a way of thinking about data science and data ethics through considering seven core principles: examine power, challenge power, elevate emotion and embodiment, rethink binaries and hierarchies, embrace pluralism, consider context, and make labor visible (p. 17-18). Despite the invaluable nature of feminist pedagogy, the intersection of feminism and mathematics has rarely been crossed (Damarin, 2008), prompting the question: *How can feminism be incorporated into mathematics education?*

Mathematical modeling (MM) offers a viable avenue for including feminism. First, as modeling “uses mathematics to represent, analyze, make predictions” about real world phenomena (GAIMME, p. 8), students can draw on their funds of knowledge and experiences (Moll et al., 1992). This approach aligns with feminist ideal of positioning the scientist within the study (Rubel et al. 2022), enabling students to integrate new learning while modifying past understandings (Shrewsbury, 1987). Second, scholars have found that students have high interest and enjoyment with little boredom when solving real-world problems (e.g., Hartman & Schukajlow, 2021). Thus, modeling has the capacity to engage students emotionally, echoing D’Ignazio and Klein’s (2020) assertion that data is neither neutral nor objective but should instead embrace “the knowledge that comes from people as living, feeling bodies in the world” (pg. 73). Prior research has incorporated students' lived experiences (e.g., Toor & Mgombelo, 2016), emotion (e.g., Azevedo et al., 2017), and embodiment (e.g., Sinha & Malhotra, 2022) in STEM education; but more work can be done in including feminism to mathematics. Specifically, there remains a gap in understanding how Data Feminism can be integrated into MM curricula. This study will employ Data Feminism principles as a heuristic to (a) design two MM tasks and (b) discuss why the tasks are characterized as “feminist modeling.” The question guiding this research is *How can feminism be incorporated into the design of mathematical modeling task?*

Our task design intentionally integrates compatible theoretical frameworks, such as the MM process diagram (e.g., Anhalt et al., 2018), Data Feminism (D’Ignazio & Klein, 2020), and Rubel et al.’s (2022) re-envisioning problem contexts. These frameworks will guide the selection of appropriate context for the tasks (e.g., personal, occupational, societal, and scientific). Tasks will be outlined and compared with “non-feminist” modeling tasks and assessed for their potential in engaging students beyond just the mathematics (e.g. personal experiences, social justice). Through highlighting Data Feminism as a design framework, we stand to help educators create an environment where students are not only equipped to “read the world with mathematics” (Gutstein, 2003, p. 44) but also to develop “mathematical power” (Gutstein, 2003, p. 45). Additionally, this study could influence how modeling tasks are designed and executed.

References

- Abrahamson, D., Nathan, M. J., Williams-Pierce, C., Walkington, C., Ottmar, E. R., Soto, H., & Alibali, M. W. (2020). The future of embodied design for mathematics teaching and learning. *Frontiers in Education*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2020.00147>
- Ali, A. D., & Narine, L. K. (2023). Educational interests and information-seeking behaviors of Utah residents. *Journal of Human Sciences and Extension*, 11(3), 8. <https://doi.org/10.55533/2325-5226.1386>
- Anhalt, C.O., Staats, S., Cortez, R., Civil, M. (2018). Mathematical modeling and culturally relevant pedagogy. In: Dori, Y. J., Mevarech, Z. R., Baker, D. R. (Eds.) *Cognition, metacognition, and culture in STEM education. Innovations in Science Education and Technology* (pp.307-330). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66659-4_14
- Azevedo, R., Millar, G. C., Taub, M., Mudrick, N. V., Bradbury A. E., & Price, M. J. (2017). Using data visualizations to foster emotion regulation during self-regulated learning with advanced learning technologies: a conceptual framework. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Learning Analytics & Knowledge Conference (LAK '17)* (pp.444–448). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3027385.3027440>
- Damarin, S. (2008). Towards thinking feminism and mathematics together. *Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 34(1), 101-123. <https://doi.org/10.1086/588470>
- D'Ignazio, C. & Klein, L. F. (2020). *Data feminism*. MIT Press.
- Gaimme: *Guidelines for assessment & instruction in mathematical modeling education* (2019). Consortium for Mathematics and Its Applications: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- Gutstein, E. (2003). Teaching and learning mathematics for social justice in an urban, Latino school. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 34(1), 37–73. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30034699>
- Hartmann, LM., Schukajlow, S. (2021). Interest and emotions while solving real-world problems inside and outside the classroom. In: Leung, F. K. S., Stillman, G.A., Kaiser, G., Wong, K. L. (Eds.) *Mathematical modelling education in east and west. International perspectives on the teaching and learning of mathematical modelling*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66996-6_13
- Louie, J., Stiles, J., Fagan, E., Chance, B., & Roy, S. (2022). Building toward critical data literacy with investigations of income inequality. *Educational Technology & Society*, 25(4), 142-163. [https://doi.org/10.30191/ETS.202210_25\(4\).0011](https://doi.org/10.30191/ETS.202210_25(4).0011)
- Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & Gonzalez, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory into Practice*, 31(2), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849209543534>
- Raven, S. (2014). Wanted: The intersection of feminist pedagogy and science education. *International Journal of Gender, Science and Technology*, 6(2), 242-251.
- Shrewsbury, C. M. (1987). What is feminist pedagogy? *Women's Studies Quarterly*, 15(3/4), 6–14. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40003432>
- Sinha, T., & Malhotra, S. (2022). Embodied agents to scaffold data science education. In: Rodrigo, M. M., Matsuda, N., Cristea, A. I., Dimitrova, V. (Eds.) *Artificial Intelligence in Education. Posters and Late Breaking Results, Workshops and Tutorials, Industry and Innovation Tracks, Practitioners' and Doctoral Consortium* (pp.150-155). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-11647-6_26
- Toor, A., & Mgombelo, J. (2015). Teaching mathematics through storytelling: Engaging the

- 'being' of a student in mathematics. In *CERME 9-Ninth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education* (pp. 3276-3282). Prague, Czech Republic.
- Torres, A. (2014). Teaching “like a girl”: Student reflection of the benefits and challenges of feminist pedagogy. *The Pegasus Review: UCF Undergraduate Research Journal*, 7(2), Article 6.