

Navigating Obstacles to Foster Success in Learning Optimization Problems in First Semester Calculus

Rasha Abadir
Rutgers University

Keywords: Calculus; Optimization; Problem Solving; Teaching Experiment

Calculus I serves as either a "gateway" into STEM majors or a "filter" that limits students' career intentions (Ayebo et al., 2017; Baisley & Adams, 2019; Biza, 2022; Bressoud et al., 2015; Rasmussen & Ellis, 2013; Sonnert et al., 2015). Baisley and Adams (2019) asserted that poor performance in calculus I can lead to students dropping out of STEM majors, with significant retention concerns in engineering programs. It is historically documented that many calculus students struggle with solving optimization problems due to its complexity which necessitates quantitative reasoning, modeling, and problem-solving skills (Borgen & Manu, 2002; Brijlall & Ndlovu, 2013; Dominguez, 2013; LaRue, 2016; Mkhathswa, 2016; Swanagan, 2012; White & Mitchelmore, 1996). Speer and Kung (2016) suggested that further investigation into optimization problems is needed. This poster will highlight aspects of this investigation.

The Pilot Study

Drawing on the social constructivist perspective (Cobb et al., 1997; Confrey, 1990; Noddings, 1990), a qualitative pilot study was conducted to understand how engagement in a collaborative problem-solving activity could reveal evidence of understanding or misconceptions of optimization problems from prior calculus learning. Four students who had recently completed Calculus I in a traditional setting worked together to solve an optimization problem. Student interactions revealed a heavy reliance on procedures and formula recall, with participants exchanging ideas and exploring alternative pathways. Although they referred to calculus strategies like using derivatives and identifying extreme values, these were often applied unsuccessfully or abandoned without thorough consideration. Despite their enthusiasm, gaps in conceptual understanding led to frustration and hindered progress. In exit interviews, students voiced dissatisfaction with traditional calculus instruction and suggested ways to create a more supportive learning environment for future students. The findings of this study highlighted the need to further explore how to support calculus students in developing a deeper understanding of optimization.

Results from the pilot study informed the design of a qualitative teaching experiment aiming to improve the learning of calculus optimization by facilitating the building of knowledge representations among a diverse sample of current calculus students. Guided by Davis's "cognitive science approach to mathematics education," this study investigates: (1) what knowledge representation structures related to optimization do students develop, and (2) how the teaching intervention influences the construction of such a knowledge representation? To deepen students' understanding of calculus optimization, participants worked in pairs on five tasks designed to promote conceptual understanding while connecting optimization to prior knowledge.

Results and Significance

The poster will share brief data from the Fall 2023 pilot study that led to a more extensive teaching intervention in Fall 2024, along with some preliminary findings from the ongoing study.

References

- Ayebo, A., Ukkelberg, S., & Assuah, C. (2017). Success in Introductory Calculus: The Role of High School and Pre-Calculus Preparation. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 3(1), 11-19.
- Baisley, A., & Adams, V. D. (2019). The Effects of Calculus I on Engineering Student Persistence. *2019 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition Proceedings*, 33386. <https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--33386>
- Biza, I., González-Martín, A. S., & Pinto, A. (2022). ‘Scaffolding’ or ‘filtering’: A review of studies on the diverse roles of calculus courses for students, professionals and teachers. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, 8(2), 389-418.
- Borgen, K. L., & Manu, S. S. (2002). What do students really understand? *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 21(2), 151-165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-3123\(02\)00115-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-3123(02)00115-3)
- Bressoud, D. M., Mesa, V., & Rasmussen, C. (Eds.). (2015). *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus*. MAA Press.
- Brijlall, D., & Ndlovu, Z. (2013). High school learners’ mental construction during solving optimization problems in Calculus : a South African case study. *South African Journal of Education*, 33(2), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v33n2a679>
- Cobb, P., Boufi, A., McClain, K., & Whitenack, J. (1997). Reflective discourse and collective reflection. *Journal for research in mathematics education*, 28(3), 258-277.
- Confrey, J. (1990). Chapter 8: What constructivism implies for teaching. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education. Monograph*, 4, 107-210.
- Davis, R. B. (Robert B. (1984). *Learning mathematics : the cognitive science approach to mathematics education*. Ablex Pub. Corp.
- Dominguez, A. (2013). Single Solution, Multiple Perspectives. In: Lesh, R., Galbraith, P., Haines, C., Hurford, A. (eds) *Modeling Students' Mathematical Modeling Competencies*.
- LaRue, R. (2016). *An analysis of student approaches to solving optimization problems in first semester calculus*. West Virginia University
- Mkhatshwa, T. P. (2016). *Business calculus students' reasoning about optimization problems: a study of quantitative reasoning in an economic context* (Doctoral dissertation, Syracuse University).
- Noddings, N. (1990). Chapter 1: Constructivism in mathematics education. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education. Monograph*, 4, 7-210.
- Rasmussen, C., & Ellis, J. (2013, July). Who is switching out of calculus and why. In *Proceedings of the 37th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 4, pp. 73-80).
- Sonnert, G., Sadler, P. M., Sadler, S. M., & Bressoud, D. M. (2015). The impact of instructor pedagogy on college calculus students’ attitude toward mathematics. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 46(3), 370-387.
- Speer, N., & Kung, D. (2016). The complement of RUME: What's missing from our research? Paper presented at the Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education, Pittsburgh, PA.
- Swanagan, B. S. (2012). *The impact of students' understanding of derivatives on their performance while solving optimization problems* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia).
- White, P., & Mitchelmore, M. (1996). Conceptual Knowledge in Introductory Calculus. *Journal*

for Research in Mathematics Education, 27(1), 79-95.